IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl. Misc. No. 78519-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION : 02.03.2007

Inderjit Kapoor and others

.... PETITIONERS

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Jatinder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. N.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

The petitioners, apprehending their arrest in complaint dated 1.9.2006, titled as Sunita Rani versus Inderjit Kapoor and others, pending in the court of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nakodar, under Sections 323, 324, 325, 382, 427, 457, 452, 148, 149 IPC, have filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for the grant of anticipatory bail.

On December 14, 2006, interim anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioners subject to their appearing before the trial court and furnishing their regular bail bonds to its satisfaction.

As per office report, respondent No.2 has been served, but no one is present on her behalf.

I have heard counsel for the parties.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the aforesaid complaint. He further states that in terms of the interim order dated December 14, 2006, the petitioners have appeared before the trial court on December 20, 2006 and have furnished

their regular bail bonds, which have been accepted and attested and since then, they have been regularly appearing.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits, the interim bail, granted vide order dated December 14, 2006, is made absolute.

Disposed of accordingly.

March 02, 2007 **(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)**
ndj **JUDGE**